Table 10--Utilization of Producer Milk in Class II Products, by Federal Milk Order Marketing Area, January 2002, with Comparisons 1/

Federal Milk Order Marketing Area	Order Number	Producer Milk Used in Class II			Class II Utilization		Component Test of Producer	
		2002	2001	Change from		ntage	Milk Used in Class II 2/	
				Prev. Year	2002	2001	Butterfat	Nonfat Solids
		Million pounds		Percent	Percent		Percent	
Northeast	001	361	338	6.7	16.36	16.49	7.62	8.45
Appalachian	005	79	69	13.6	13.22	12.52	8.01	
Southeast	007	70	72	-3.2	9.35	11.01	7.73	
Florida	006	14	17	-12.9	5.95	6.42	16.67	
Mideast	033	203	165	23.4	14.25	11.89	6.56	8.51
Upper Midwest	030	52	45	16.5	2.68	2.59	14.00	7.80
Central	032	94	86	9.4	6.21	6.10	8.06	8.36
Southwest	126	72	56	28.3	9.07	7.50	8.45	8.37
Arizona-Las Vegas	131	11	12	-12.7	4.13	4.67	11.77	
Western	135	37	29	28.9	7.83	8.84	7.35	8.51
Pacific Northwest	124	33	38	-12.8	5.21	6.25	10.16	8.20
All Markets Combined 3/		1,026	927	10.7	9.47	9.28	8.11	8.40

<sup>1/</sup> The election not to pool milk due to disadvantageous price relationships has affected the year-to-year comparisons for those markets, where this occurred; see 2/ and 3/ on table 8.

<sup>2/</sup> Figures for Nonfat Solids are available only for those orders with the component pricing system for paying producers.

<sup>3/</sup> May not add due to rounding. Figures for Component Test are the weighted average of the individual market figures.

The weighting factors are the applicable pounds in producer milk receipts used in Class II.